As always, show your work and follow the HW format. You may use Excel, but must show sample calculations.

1. Single Mean. A new roof truss is designed to hold more than 5000 pounds of snow load. You test 20 trusses and obtain a mean of 5025 pounds and standard deviation of 80 pounds. Use the 8 -step method to determine if the mean is greater than 5000 pounds, at a significance of 0.05 .

## SOLUTION:

1. $\mu$
2. $\mathrm{H}_{0}: \mu=5000 \mathrm{lb}$
3. $\mathrm{H}_{1}: \mu>5000 \mathrm{lb}$
4. $\alpha=0.05$
5. $\mathrm{TS}=\mathrm{T} \quad$ (because $\sigma$ unknown and $\mathrm{n}<30$ )
6. Reject $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}}$ if $\mathrm{TS}>\mathrm{CV}$, where $\mathrm{CV}=\mathrm{t}_{\alpha, v}=\mathrm{t}_{0.05,19}=1.73$ [Table A. 2 or $\operatorname{T.INV}(0.95,19)$ ]
7. Calculate necessary values:
a. $\quad \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{o}}=\frac{\bar{X}-\mu_{o}}{s / \sqrt{n}}=\frac{\overline{5025}-5000}{80 / \sqrt{20}}=1.40$
8. $1.40<1.71$. Fail to Reject $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ at $\alpha=0.05$; the capacity of the roof truss appears to NOT be greater than 5000 lb .
9. Two Means. Two different types of tubing should have different maximum pressures. Use the sample data given below to assess this using the 8 -step method, using a significance of 0.05.

| Sample Parameter | Tube 1 | Tube 2 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Size | 22 | 25 |
| Mean, psi | 150 | 160 |
| Standard Deviation, psi | 23 | 15 |

## SOLUTION:

1. $\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}$
2. $H_{0}: \mu_{1}-\mu_{2}=0$
3. $\mathrm{H}_{1}: \mu_{1}-\mu_{2} \neq 0$
4. $\alpha=0.05$
5. $\mathrm{TS}=\mathrm{T}^{*} \quad$ (because $\sigma$ 's unknown and unequal, and both $\mathrm{n}<30$ )
6. Reject $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}}$ if $|\mathrm{TS}|>\mathrm{CV}$, where $\mathrm{CV}=\mathrm{t}_{\alpha / 2, v}=\mathrm{t}_{0.025,36}=2.03$ [Table A. 2 or T.INV $(0.95,36$ ]

7. Calculate necessary values:
a. $\quad T_{o}^{*}=\frac{(150-160)-0}{\left(\frac{23^{2}}{22}+\frac{15^{2}}{25}\right)^{0.5}}=-1.74$
8. $|-1.74|<2.03$. Fail to Reject $H_{0}$ at $\alpha=0.05$; The pressure capacities are not significantly different.
9. Distribution Checking. Can parking spot pavement defects be predicted by the Poisson Distribution? You evaluate almost 1800 parking spots and observe the following.

| Defects in parking spot, $\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{i}}$ | Parking spots with $\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{i}}$ defects, $\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{i}}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 720 |
| 1 | 650 |
| 2 | 330 |
| 3 | 90 |
| $\geq 4$ | 5 |

Use the 8 -step method with a significance level of 0.1 . " $r$ " will be the average number of defects per parking spot. Determine $r$ as the (total number of defects observed) / (total number of parking spots evaluated).

## Solution:

Distribution Checking Table

| Count | $\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{i}}$ | $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{i}} 736.122$ | $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{i}}$ | $\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{i}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 720 | 0.41010 | 736.122 | 0.4 |
| 1 | 650 | 0.36554 | 656.153 | 0.1 |
| 2 | 330 | 0.16292 | 292.436 | 4.8 |
| 3 | 90 | 0.04841 | 86.889 | 0.1 |
| $\geq 4$ | 5 | 0.01304 | 23.400 | 14.5 |
| Sums $\boldsymbol{1}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 8}$ |

Sample Calculations:
$r=(0 \cdot 720+1 \cdot 650+2 \cdot 330+3 \cdot 90+4 \cdot 5) / 1795=0.8914$
$\operatorname{Pr}(X=x)=\frac{r^{x}}{x!} e^{-r}$, e.g., for $X=0: \frac{0.8914^{0}}{0!} e^{-0.8914}=0.4101$ and $\operatorname{Pr}(X \geq 4)=1$ - sum of 0 to 3 counts.
$e_{i}=p_{i} \cdot 1795$, e.g., for the first row, $e_{1}=0.4101 \cdot 1795=736.122$.
$c_{i}=\left(n_{i}-e_{i}\right)^{2} / e_{i}$, e.g., for the first row, $c_{1}=(720-736.122)^{2} / 736.122=0.40$.
$C_{o}$ equals the sum of the $c_{i}$ column $=19.8$.

1. C (Stand in for all $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}$ )
2. $H_{0}: C=0\left(A l l n_{i}=e_{i}\right)$
3. $\mathrm{H}_{1}: \mathrm{C}>0$ (At least one $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}} \neq \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}$ )
4. $\alpha=0.1$
5. $\mathrm{TS}=\mathrm{C}$
6. Reject $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}}$ if $\mathrm{TS}>\mathrm{CV}$, where $v=5-1-1=3$, and $C V=\chi^{2}{ }_{0.1,3}=6.25$ [Table A. 3 or CHISQ.INV.RT(0.1,3)]
7. Calculate necessary values: see Table, $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{o}}=19.8$
8. Reject or Fail to Reject based on rejection equation: $19.8>6.25$; Reject $H_{0}$ at significance level 0.1, the Poisson distribution does not appears to model the parking spot pavement defects.
9. Simple Linear Regression. Given the data below, use the 8 -step method to determine if the overall linear relationship is significant at the 0.01 significance level. Use a " $\mathrm{TS}>\mathrm{CV}$ " rejection region. You will need to calculate $f_{o}$ and $f_{\alpha, u, v}$.

| $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ | $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{x y}}$ | $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{x x}}$ | $\widehat{\boldsymbol{y}}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ | $\mathbf{S S}_{\mathbf{R}}$ | $\mathbf{S S}_{\mathbf{T}}$ | $\mathbf{S S}_{\mathbf{E}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 5 | 84.00 | 110.25 | 6.42 | 43.28 | 64.00 | 2.02 |
| 6 | 9 | 34.00 | 72.25 | 7.67 | 28.37 | 16.00 | 1.76 |
| 10 | 8 | 22.50 | 20.25 | 10.18 | 7.95 | 25.00 | 4.75 |
| 14 | 15 | -1.00 | 0.25 | 12.69 | 0.10 | 4.00 | 5.35 |
| 23 | 20 | 59.50 | 72.25 | 18.33 | 28.37 | 49.00 | 2.80 |
| 30 | 21 | 124.00 | 240.25 | 22.71 | 94.32 | 64.00 | 2.93 |
| $\overline{\boldsymbol{X}} \downarrow$ | $\overline{\boldsymbol{Y}} \downarrow$ | $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{x y}} \downarrow$ | $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{x x}} \downarrow$ | $\mathbf{N A}$ | $\mathbf{S S}_{\mathbf{R}} \downarrow$ | $\mathbf{S S}_{\mathbf{T}} \downarrow$ | $\mathbf{S S}_{\mathbf{E}} \downarrow$ |
| $\mathbf{1 4 . 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 3 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 5 . 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{N A}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 . 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 2 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 6 2}$ |

## SOLUTION:

1. $F$ (Stand in for slope coefficients, $\beta_{j}$ )
2. $H_{0}: F=0\left(A l l \beta_{j}=0\right)$
3. $\mathrm{H}_{1}: \mathrm{F}>0$ (At least one $\beta_{\mathrm{j}} \neq 0$ )
4. $\alpha=0.1$
5. $\mathrm{TS}=\mathrm{F}$
6. Reject $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}}$ if $\mathrm{TS}>\mathrm{CV}, \mathrm{u}=\mathrm{p}-1=2-1$ and $\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{p}=5-2, \mathrm{CV}=\mathrm{f}_{0.01,1,4}=21.2$ [Table A. 5 or F.INV.RT(0.01,1,4) or F.INV(0.9,1,3)]
7. Calculate necessary values:
$T S=f_{o}=M S_{R} / \mathrm{MS}_{\mathrm{E}}=\left(\mathrm{SS}_{\mathrm{R}} /(\mathrm{p}-1)\right) /\left(\mathrm{SS} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{E}} /(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{p})\right)=(202.38 / 1) /(19.62 / 4)=41.3$
8. Reject or Fail to Reject based on rejection equation: $41.3>21.2$; Reject $H_{o}$ at significance level 0.01, a significant linear relationship exists between the dependent variable and the independent variable.
9. Multiple Linear Regression. Use A and B to predict Y. Use the Excel Data Analysis Add-in.

| $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | 1 | 8 |
| 17 | 3 | 10 |
| 10 | 4 | 11 |
| 14 | 6 | 14 |
| 23 | 10 | 21 |

Include the Excel Data Analysis Add-in output and use it to answer the following questions.
(a) Use the 8-step method to determine if the overall linear relationship is significant at the 0.01 significance level. Use a " $p$-value $<\alpha$ " rejection region.
(b) Use the 8 -step method to determine if $\beta_{1}$ is not equal to zero (at the 0.01 significance level). Use a " $p$-value $<\alpha$ " rejection region.
(c) Use the 8 -step method to determine if $\beta_{2}$ is not equal to zero (at the 0.01 significance level). Use a " $p$-value $<\alpha$ " rejection region.

## SOLUTION:

ANOVA

|  | $d f$ | SS | MS | F | Significance $F$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Regression | 2 | 102.6926505 | 51.34632526 | 956.6198887 | 0.001044256 |
| Residual | 2 | 0.107349483 | 0.053674742 |  |  |
| Total | 4 | 102.8 |  |  |  |


|  | Coefficients | Standard Error | $t$ Stat | $P$-value |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intercept | 3.911314 | 0.391610751 | 9.987758232 | 0.009876267 |
| X Variable 1 | 0.116382 | 0.021652021 | 5.375127114 | 0.032912464 |
| X Variable 2 | 1.434773 | 0.034497192 | 41.59100506 | 0.000577597 |

(a)

1. F (Stand in for slope coefficients, $\beta_{\mathrm{j}}$ )
2. $H_{0}: F=0\left(A l l \beta_{j}=0\right)$
3. $\mathrm{H}_{1}: \mathrm{F}>0\left(\right.$ At least one $\left.\beta_{\mathrm{j}} \neq 0\right)$
4. $\alpha=0.01$
5. $\mathrm{TS}=\mathrm{F}$
6. Reject $H_{o}$ if $P$-Value $<\alpha$, from Table $P$-Value $=0.00104$
7. Calculate necessary values: NA
8. Reject or Fail to Reject based on rejection equation: $0.00104<0.01$; Reject $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}}$ at significance level 0.01, a significant linear relationship exists between the dependent variable and the independent variables.
(b)
9. $\beta_{1}$
10. $H_{0}: \beta_{1}=0$
11. $H_{0}: \beta_{1} \neq 0$
12. $\alpha=0.01$
13. T
14. Reject $H_{0}$ if $p$-value $<\alpha$, where $p$-value is determined from the Excel Data Analysis Addin Table given above. $p$-value $=0.0329$ (From Table above)
15. Calculate necessary values: NA
16. Reject or Fail to Reject $\mathrm{H}_{0}: 0.0329>0.01$; Fail to Reject $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ at $\alpha=$ $0.05, \beta_{1}$ is not significantly different from zero.
(c)
17. $\beta_{2}$
18. $H_{0}: \beta_{2}=0$
19. $H_{0}: \beta_{2} \neq 0$
20. $\alpha=0.01$
21. T
22. Reject $H_{0}$ if $p$-value $<\alpha$ where $p$-value is determined from the Excel Data Analysis Add-in Table given above. $p$-value $=0.000578$ (From Table above)
23. Calculate necessary values: NA
24. Reject or Fail to Reject $H_{0}: 0.000578<0.05$; Reject $H_{0}$ at $\alpha=0.01, \beta_{2}$ is significantly different from zero.
